UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,056	03/11/2004	Junzo Tokunaka	450100-04964	4967
William S. Frommer, Esq. FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP			EXAMINER	
			TAKELE, MESEKER	
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,056	TOKUNAKA, JUNZO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MESEKER TAKELE	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a r d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1, 8 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.8,12 and 19-21 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 8, 12 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 04/20/2011.

2. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, 12 and 19-21 are independent claims. In the instant Amendment, claims 1, 8, 12 and 19-21 were amended.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. This action made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 3-4 10-12, 14-15 and 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al. ("Fuller" US Patent No.: 6,833,865) in view of Ostermann ("Ostermann" US Patent No.: 7,295,755) and in further in view of Harper et al. ("Harper", US Patent No.: 6,476,817).

As to claim 1, Fuller discloses an information processing apparatus for handling a storage medium storing content data and metadata associated therewith (Figure 2A (element 700)), comprising:

an extracting section for extracting, from said metadata stored on said storage medium (col., 4 lines, 24-35),

wherein the extracting section performs automatic extraction in response to loading the storage medium and manual extraction in accordance with a user's operation of selecting the metadata to be extracted from a list of selectable metadata (col., 4 lines, 24-35, paragraph [0050])),

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056

Art Unit: 2175

However Fuller does not explicitly disclose wherein when performing automatic extraction, the extracting section automatically in response to loading the storage medium. Starts to search storage area and storage location for the metadata in the storage medium

Ostermann from the same field of endeavor discloses wherein when performing automatic extraction, the extracting section automatically in response to loading the storage medium. Starts to search storage area and storage location for the metadata in the storage medium (col., 1 line, 48-67 and col., 2 lines, 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuller's teaching with the teaching of Ostermann, because Ostermann allow efficient automatic content referencing, content location, and automatic access, and electronic access's device eliminates the need of the display driver within the storage medium.

Fuller further discloses wherein the information display unit displays user-selectable metadata in the metadata extraction window (col., 1 line, 55-64, col., 4 lines, 30-45 and claim 20).

However the modified Fuller and Ostermann do not explicitly disclose (a) an information display unit for displaying the extracted display data and the metadata extraction window onto said information display area.

Harper, from the same field of endeavor discloses (a) an information display unit for displaying the extracted display data and the metadata extraction window onto said information display area (col., 2 line 62-64 and Figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuller's teaching with the teaching of Harper, because Harper's device eliminates the need of the display driver within the storage medium.

As to claim 3, Harper discloses wherein said information display area is exchangeable with another information display area. Yamaguchi from the same field of endeavor disclose wherein said information display area is exchangeable with another information display area (Figures 1 and 4).

As to claim 4, Harper discloses wherein said information display area is constituted by a rewrite sheet (col., 4 lines, 50).

As to claim 7, Harper discloses wherein said content data include at least video content data and said information display unit displays, in said information display area, thumbnail image data extracted from said video content data on the basis of said metadata (col., 5 lines, 30-55).

Claims 8 and 12 are similar in scope to claim 1 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 10 and 14 are similar in scope to claim 3 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 11 and 15 are similar in scope to claim 4 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 18 is similar in scope to claim 7, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 21 is similar in scope to claim 1, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

5. Claims 2, 5-6, 9, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al. ("Fuller" US Patent No.: 6,833,865) in view of Harper et al. ("Harper ", US Patent No.: 6,476,817) in further in view of Bloch et al. ("Bloch" Us Patent No.: 5,754,102).

As to claim 2, Fuller and Harper do not disclose wherein said information display area is rewritable.

However Bloch from the same field of endeavor discloses wherein said information display area is rewritable (such as, "electric paper" system is that such a display can be re-written upon essentially limitlessly, col., 4 line, 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuller and Harper's teaching with the teaching of Bloch.

The motivation to combine will provide for adding/deleting data to/from the storage media as desired.

As to claim 5, Bloch discloses, wherein said information display unit displays, in said information display area, said display data by coding at least a part thereof (col., 2 lines, 5-7).

As to claim 6, Bloch discloses a metadata editing section for editing said metadata in accordance with a processing result of said content data, wherein said extracting section extracts said display data also from the edited metadata (col., 3 lines, 23 -36).

Claims 9 and 13 are similar in scope to claim 2 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 5, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is similar in scope to claim 6, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

6. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al. ("Fuller" US Patent No.: 6,833,865) in view of Ostermann ("Ostermann" US Patent No.: 7,295,755) in further in view of Harper et al. ("Harper", US Patent No.: 6,476,817), Bloch et al. ("Bloch" Us Patent No.: 5,754,102) and Tehranchi et al. ("Tehranchi" US Patent No.: 6,873,435).

Claim 19 is similar in scope to claim 1, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

However Fuller in view of Harper and in further in view of Bloch do not disclose information display unit displaying said display data as a barcode form by coding a part and a thumbnail image automatically.

Tehranchi from similar field of endeavor discloses information display unit displaying said display data as a barcode form by coding a part and a thumbnail image automatically, (such as, Bar codes have also been used for tracking and identifying images. In diagnostic imaging, for example, patient identification information can be optically encoded directly onto a film such as for X-rays, ultrasound, col., 3 lines, 35-40, Figure 1 and 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuller's teaching with the teaching of Tehranchi.

The motivation to combine to provide, from an image processing apparatus, an output print generated from digital data, where encoded metadata identifying a data source and image processing variables is coupled to the output print, and to provide a method for image processing using such encoded metadata.

Claim 20 is similar in scope to claim 19, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended independent claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that: Ostermann fails to disclose or render predictable "wherein when performing automatic extraction, the extracting section automatically, in response to loading the storage medium, starts to search storage area and storage location for the metadata in the storage medium,"

The Examiner disagrees because: Ostermann disclose "wherein when performing automatic extraction, the extracting section automatically, in response to loading the storage medium, starts to search storage area and storage location for the metadata in the storage medium," (such as, a method for processing metadata associated with multimedia content, wherein said multimedia content is located on a first storage medium, said method comprising the steps of: extracting said metadata from a descriptor stream, wherein said descriptor stream is located on a second storage medium, see Ostermann claim 1, col., 1 lines, 48-67 and col., 2 lines, 33).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MESEKER TAKELE whose telephone number is (571)270-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30AM-5:00PM est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on (571) 272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056 Page 10

Art Unit: 2175

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

/Meseker Takele/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WILLIAM L. BASHORE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175